



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/488,390	01/19/2000	David M. Tumey	06 2916.001	4399
29767	7590 12/08/2004		EXAMINER	
ERIC W. CERNYAR, P.C.			BALI, VIKKRAM	
10401 FOX HOLLOW SAN ANTONIO, TX 78217			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
			DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/488,390	TUMEY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vikkram Bali	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months at earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r unication.)) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 November 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2	·					
,— ,,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 09/488,390 Page 2

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

In response to the amendment filled on 11/08/2004, all the amendments have been entered, and the action follows:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 8-10, 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamiya et al (US 6175772).

Art Unit: 2623

With respect to claims 1, 2, 8-10, 11-16 the rejections are maintained and are incorporated with reference as set forth in the prior office action paper number 18.

4. Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamiya in view of Diamond et al (US 5314336).

With respect to claims 3-6 the rejections are maintained and are incorporated with reference as set forth in the prior office action paper number 18.

5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamiya in view of Black et al (US 5802220).

With respect to claim 7 the rejections are maintained and are incorporated with reference as set forth in the prior office action paper number 18.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 11/08/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the reference Kamiya fails to disclose biometric recognition (see page 10 paragraph 5 of remarks). Examiner disagrees and would like to point out that the claim limitations are given their broadest reasonable interpretations. And, in the instant case, Kamiya discloses an acquisition device to acquire a representation of a facial characteristics of an object, (see figure 3, numerical 2 a camera for taking pictures of the individual and the surrounding see col. 4, lines 15-17 and col. 6, lines 2-5); and

•

facial image recognition, (see figure 3, numerical 20, and col. 4, lines 10-21 and col. 4, lines 48-59, wherein the user, i.e. human as claimed in claim 12, is imaged and the image is used to recognize the facial expression "facial recognition") as claimed.

Examiner would like to point out that the biometric recognition is a broader term and does includes and not limited to the following:

Fingerprint, facial, hair, nail, hand, or any other biological data.

Therefore, as disclose by the reference Kamiya the facial expression recognition does fall in the same category of biological data and can be read as biometric recognition.

Conclusion

7. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2623

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vikkram Bali whose telephone number is 703.305.4510. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on 703.308.6604. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 2623

vb

December 7, 2004